

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/829,114	04/09/2001	Hajime Kimura	SEL 249	2293
7590 03/03/2006			EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	
CUMMINGS &	& MEHLER, LTD.			
SUITE 2850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/829,114	KIMURA, HAJIME				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2005.					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	•••					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	`					
4)	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct accordance to the correct accorda	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	<b>∆</b> □ 1-4 : 0	(PTO 442)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/09/2006</u>.</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/829,114 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 8, 9, 35, 36, 41, 57 and 58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claim recites "wherein a value of an electric potential of the electric discharging power source line takes a value that is between an electric potential of the bias signal line and an electric potential of the biasing side power source line". According to the specification electric discharging power source line 1109 (figure 11a) and biasing side power source line 1104 are grounded. The bias signal line 1106 is shown at a voltage Vb (figure 11b). Therefore the value of value of an electric potential of the electric discharging power source line (ground voltage) is not between a potential of the bias signal line (Vb) and potential of the biasing side power source line (Ground).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/829,114

Art Unit: 2615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-3, 8, 9, 35, 36, 41 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Clark (US Patent # 6,157,016). [Claim 1]

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches a semiconductor device (figure 8) comprising an amplifying transistor (801), a biasing transistor (802), an amplifying side power source line (803); a biasing side power source line (804); a bias signal line (802). Applicant's admitted prior art discloses in figure 8 a drain terminal of the amplifying transistor being connected to the amplifying side power source line (803), a source terminal of the biasing transistor is connected to the biasing side power source line (804), a source terminal of the amplifying transistor (801) is connected to a drain terminal of the biasing transistor, a gate terminal ( $V_b$ ) of the biasing transistor (802) is connected to the bias signal line, a gate terminal of the amplifying transistor (801) serves as an input terminal ( $V_{in}$ ), and a source terminal of the amplifying transistor (801) serves as an output terminal ( $V_{in}$ ), and a source terminal of the amplifying transistor (801)

Applicant's admitted prior art fails to teach an electric discharging transistor and an electric discharging power source line wherein one of the output terminal and the electric discharging power source line is connected to a source terminal of the electric discharging transistor while the other thereof is connected to a drain terminal of the electric discharging transistor, and on an absolute value of a voltage between a gate and a source of the biasing

Art Unit: 2615

transistor is equivalent to a minimum value of an absolute value of a voltage between a gate and a source that is necessary for making the biasing transistor into a conductive state.

However Clark et al. teaches a first circuit comprising source follower circuit made up of a source follower device 204 and a load device 214 and the pixel access device 208 (col. 3 line 66-col. 4 line 21, figure 2). An electric discharging transistor 216 source's terminal (used to predischarge bitline capacitor 212) is coupled to the source of the pixel access transistor 208 (that is part of the source follower circuit used as an amplifier, col. 4 lines 21-55). Clark also teaches an electric discharging power source line (ground terminal) of the electric discharging transistor 214 is connected to a drain terminal of the electric discharging transistor (See figure 2). Clark further teaches that transistor 214 may be controllably biased to have a higher drive by modulating the gate voltage of transistor 214, with high voltage for discharge (col. 5 lines 11-20) [and therefore reads on an absolute value of a voltage between a gate and a source of the biasing transistor is equivalent to a minimum value of an absolute value of a voltage between a gate and a source that is necessary for making the biasing transistor into a conductive state].

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Applicant's admitted prior art and Clark, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have an electric discharging transistor and an electric discharging power source line wherein one of the output terminal and the electric discharging power source line is connected to a source terminal of the electric discharging transistor while the other thereof is connected to a drain terminal of the electric discharging transistor on an absolute value of a voltage between a gate and a source of the biasing transistor is equivalent to a minimum value of an absolute value of a voltage between a gate and a source that is necessary for making the

biasing transistor into a conductive state in order to cause reduction of the time delay otherwise imposed if the pixel was first selected for readout and therefore increases the speed of the pixel as taught in Clark (col. 3 lines 1-5).

Page 5

### [Claim 2]

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches a load capacitance (805) wherein one terminal of the load capacitance is connected to the output terminal (V out), and the other terminal of the load capacitance is connected to a load capacitance power source line (806).

## [Claim 3]

Applicant's admitted prior art and Clark both disclose that a biasing transistor (e.g. transistor 214 in Clark) is connected to ground. Clark discloses that the electric discharging transistor 216 power source line is connected to ground.

#### [Claim 8]

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches an area sensor having plurality of pixels with one biasing transistor for one output line (Paragraph 11, figure 3, output line 303). Therefore for a plurality of output lines corresponding to plurality of pixels there will be plurality of biasing transistors. Clark further teaches that transistor 214 may be controllably biased to have a higher drive by modulating the gate voltage of transistor 214, with high voltage for discharge (col. 5 lines 11-20).

### [Claim 9]

Clark teaches that the amplifying transistor, the biasing transistor, and the electric discharging transistor are transistors having the same polarity (NMOS, col. 3 line 65-col. 4 line 55, figure 2). [Claims 35 and 57]

Art Unit: 2615

These are method claims corresponding to apparatus claim 1 except "wherein the discharging transistor will be used to perform a discharge when the discharging transistor is in a conductive state". Clark teaches that the discharging transistor 216 is biased to be in full conduction before pixel 218 is read out, thereby predischarging capacitor 212 to approximately 0 volts (col. 4 lines 41-45).

[Claim 36]

This is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claim 2. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon apparatus claim 2.

[Claim 41]

This is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claim 8. Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon apparatus claim 8.

6. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art, Clark et al. (US Patent # 6,157,016) and in further view of Silver et al. (US Patent # 6,690,842).

[Claims 10-14]

Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Clark teaches the limitations of claim 1. Clark et al. teaches that the semiconductor device can be used in a camera or any imaging devices (Also read as a computer or portable communication terminal, col. 3 lines 15-20) but fails to teach other devices like X-ray and a scanner. However Silver et al. teaches that for forming digital images a number of devices like a digital camera, line-scan scanners, X-ray devices such as CT scanners can be used (col. 1 lines 15-20). Therefore taking the combined teachings of Applicant's admitted prior art, Clark et al. and Silver, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at

Application/Control Number: 09/829,114

Art Unit: 2615

the time of the invention to have been motivated to use the semiconductor device of Clark into other devices like X-ray and a scanner. The benefit of doing so would be a reduction in cost of

Page 7

manufacture because the same imaging sensor might be used in a number of devices.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA

January 20, 2006

DAVID OMETZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER